UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,460	11/12/2003	Dae-Gyu Kim	SAM-0497	6749
7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Anthony P. Onello, Jr.			NGUYEN, HAI L	
MILLS & ONE	LLO LLP			
Suite 605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eleven Beacon Street			2816	
Boston, MA 0	2108			
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,460	KIM ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hai L. Nguyen	2816			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	August 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Pap <u>ers</u>					
-	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	_				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment received on 08/26/04 has been reviewed and considered with the following results:

As to the objection to the drawings, Applicant's revision of the drawings has overcome the objection, as such; the objection has been withdrawn.

As to the prior art rejections to the claims made in the previous Office Action are now withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments. However, Applicant's amendments necessitate new ground of rejection as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art, Fig. 1 in the present application, in view of Goetschel et al. (US 5,491,441).

With respect to claim 6, the admitted prior art in Fig. 1 shows a clock circuit comprising a semiconductor chip pad (10), an electrostatic protective circuit (MP1, MN1) connected to the semiconductor chip pad at a node (N1); and a square wave generating circuit (INV1, Rfb, 20) for generating a square wave at an output terminal thereof based on an input signal received at the

Art Unit: 2816

semiconductor chip pad. Fig. 1 of the admitted prior art meets all the claimed limitations, except for a capacitor (C1 in instant Fig.3). Goetschel et al. teaches in Fig. 1 a clock circuit having a capacitor connected between the input source node (NET 3) and the square wave generating circuit (18, 22, 24, 26) in a similar manner as recited in the claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to implement the capacitor taught by Miller in the admitted prior art (Fig. 1) by having a capacitor connected between the input node (N1) and the square wave generating circuit for the advantage of being able to ensure a stable operation of the inverter against the parameter variance and the power voltage variation. Furthermore, the new added limitation "the capacitor prevents a leakage current from flowing between the square wave generating circuit and the electrostatic protective circuit" is also meet by the prior arts. Since the claimed structure is fully met by the prior arts, inherently, the recited result function will also be met.

With regard to claims 7, 8, and 10, the prior art also meets the recited limitations in these claims.

Claims 1 and 2 are similarly rejected; note the above discussion with regard to claim 6. Furthermore, the resulting limitations "a square wave having a stable duty is generated at an output of the square wave generating circuit, irrespective of variance in environmental conditions, wherein the environmental conditions include at least one of temperature, process and supply voltage" will also be met by the references. Since the claimed structure is fully met by the prior art of record (under 35 USC 103), the resulting function claimed by applicant will be inherent, i.e., if the claimed structure is met, then the recited function will be inherent in the reference as modified above.

Art Unit: 2816

With regard to claims 3 and 4, the prior art also meets the recited limitations in these claims.

4. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Goetschel et al., as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Gutierrez (US 6,566,971; previously cited).

With regard to claim 9, the above discussed the circuit of the references meets all of the claimed limitations except for the capacitor is the metal-insulator-metal (MIM) type of capacitor. Gutierrez teaches in Fig. 3 a circuit comprising the MIM capacitors as recited in the claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to implement the capacitor by using the metal-insulator-metal (MIM) type of capacitor taught by Gutierrez in order to minimize series resistance and, in addition, the MIM capacitor is much more linear as compared to other types of capacitor.

Claim 5 is similarly rejected; note the above discussion with regard to claim 9.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2816

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1747 and Right Fax number is 571-273-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1562.

October 15, 2004

/ TIMOTHYP CALLAHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800